

8/18/2010

Exception-safe Code

Paul Mercea


Example function

- example function in class for representing GUI menus with background images used in threaded environment

```
void PrettyMenu::changeBackground(std::istream& imgSrc)
{
    lock(&mutex); // acquire mutex
    delete bgImage; // get rid of old background
    ++imageChanges; // update image change count
    bgImage = new Image(imgSrc); // install new background
    unlock(&mutex); // release mutex
}
```

```
class PrettyMenu{
public:
    ...
    void changeBackground(std::istream& imgSrc);
    ...
private:
    Mutex mutex;
    Image* bgImage;
    int imageChanges;
};
```

Example function - „as bad as it gets“

```
void PrettyMenu::changeBackground(std::istream& imgSrc)
{
    lock(&mutex); // acquire mutex
    delete bgImage; // get rid of old background
    ++imageChanges; // update image change count
     bgImage = new Image(imgSrc); // install new background
    unlock(&mutex); // release mutex
}
```

Example function - „as bad as it gets“

```
void PrettyMenu::changeBackground(std::istream& imgSrc)
{
    leak of resources lock(&mutex); // acquire mutex
    delete bgImage; // get rid of old background
    ++imageChanges; // update image change count
    ⚡ bgImage = new Image(imgSrc); // install new background
    unlock(&mutex); // release mutex
}
```

- `unlock()` never gets executed; mutex is held forever
- `bgImage` points to a deleted object
- `imageChanges` has been incremented

- **basic guarantee**
 - if an exception is thrown, everything in the program remains in a valid state
 - exact state of the program may not be predictable
- **strong guarantee**
 - if an exception is thrown, the state of the program is unchanged
 - such functions are *atomic*, either they succeed completely or the program state is as if they'd never been called
- **nothrow guarantee**
 - always doing what promised to do
 - all operations on built-in types (ints, pointers, etc.) are nothrow

Improving changeBackground()

- Using resource management classes

```
void PrettyMenu::changeBackground(std::istream& imgSrc)
{
    Lock ml(&mutex); // acquire mutex
                    // and assure its later release
    delete bgImage; // get rid of old background
    ++imageChanges; // update image change count
    bgImage = new Image(imgSrc); // install new background
    unlock(&mutex);
}

```

```
class Lock {
public:
    explicit Lock(Mutex * pm):mutexPtr(pm)
    {lock(mutexPtr);}

    ~Lock() {unlock(mutexPtr);}

private
    Mutex* mutexPtr;
};

```

Improving changeBackground()

- Using smart pointer and reorder statements

```
void PrettyMenu::changeBackground(std::istream& imgSrc)
{
    Lock ml(&mutex); // acquire mutex
                    // and assure its later release

    ➡ bgImage.reset(new Image(imgSrc)); // replace bgImage's
                                        // internal pointer
                                        // with the result of
                                        // the „new Image“
                                        // expression

    ➡ ++imageChanges; // update image change count
}

```

```
class PrettyMenu
{
    ...
    ➡ std::tr1::shared_ptr<Image> bgImage;
    ...
};

```

After ...

- using resource management classes
- using smart pointer
- and reordering statements

... `changeBackground()` can *almost* offer the **strong exception safety guarantee**.

Why just almost ?

- the problem is the parameter `imgSrc`
- if the `Image` constructor throws an exception it's possible that the read marker for the input stream has been moved; such movement would be a change in state
- Solution → „**copy and swap**“
 - make a copy of the object to be modified
 - make all needed changes to the copy
 - after successfully completed changes, swap the modified object with the original in a non-throwing operation

- Exception-safe functions leak no resources and allow no data structures to become corrupted, even when exceptions are thrown. Such functions offer the **basic**, **strong**, or **nothrow guarantees**.
- The **strong guarantee** can often be implemented via copy-and-swap, but the strong guarantee is not practical for all functions.
- A function can usually offer a **guarantee** no stronger than the weakest guarantee of the functions it calls.

- Meyers, Scott. *Effective C++: 55 Specific Ways to Improve Your Programs and Designs*